Kinetics of Hydroxyl Radical Reaction with CF₂ClOCHO: A Theoretical Investigation

Asit K. Chandra,^{†,††} Tadafumi Uchimaru,^{*,††} Masaaki Sugie,^{††} and Akira Sekiya^{††}

[†]Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth (RITE), AIST Tsukuba Central 5, Tsukuba 305-8565

^{††}National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), AIST Tsukuba Central 5, Tsukuba 305-8565

(Received October 30, 2001; CL-011080)

The rate constant for the hydrogen abstraction reaction between CF₂ClOCHO and OH radical has been calculated for the first time by using the modified ab initio G2(MP2) and standard transition state theories. The Arrhenius equation for the rate constant, k_{total} , within the temperature range of 250 K to 450 K is estimated to be $7.1 \times 10^{-13} \exp(-845/T) \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹.

Recent works^{1,2} have shown formates as a major product resulting from the oxidation of halogenated ether compounds in the atmosphere. The atmospheric impact of the resulting formates should, therefore, be included while considering the global warming potential of haloethers. Since hydrogen abstraction reaction with OH radical is likely to be one of the major degradation channels for halogenated formates in the atmosphere,³ it is important to understand the reaction of formates with OH radical. The kinetic data on hydrogen abstraction from formates is very limited. The purpose of the present study is to investigate for the first time the kinetics and mechanism of the hydrogen abstraction reaction: CF₂ClOCHO + $OH \rightarrow CF_2ClOCO + H_2O$ using ab initio theory and to evaluate the rate constant over a temperature range which covers the tropospheric temperatures (i.e., T < 298 K). The result provides indication how the reactivity for hydrogen abstraction changes with the change in halogen substitution.

In our previous work on the reaction between CF3OCHO and OH radical,⁴ we employed a modified G2(MP2) procedure. The geometry optimizations and vibrational frequency calculations were carried out at the MP2(full)/6-311G(d,p) level, whereas in the usual G2(MP2) method⁵ the MP2(full)/6-31G(d)-optimized geometries and HF/6-31G(d) frequencies are employed. The MP2 frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.9496.6 This modified G2(MP2) procedure produced very good results for hydrogen abstraction from CF₃OCHO.⁴ We also calculated the hydrogen abstraction rate constant for the reaction between CH3OCHO and OH by applying this procedure and the calculated value of 1.8×10^{-13} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ is found to be in good agreement with the experimental value of $(1.73 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-13}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹.⁷ Thus, we employed the same modification of the G2(MP2) procedure in the present study. Figure 1 shows the MP2(full)/6-311G(d,p)-optimized transition state (TS) structures of the title reaction. The reactant molecule CF2ClOCHO, as well as the product radical CF2ClOCO, has two stable conformers, syn $(C3-O1-C2-O4 = 0^{\circ})$ and *anti* $(C3-O1-C2-O4 = 180^{\circ})$ (see Figure 1). Correspondingly, we were able to locate the syn and anti transition states (TSs) for hydrogen abstraction from CF₂ClOCHO: the normal mode of the imaginary frequency for each TS corresponds to the C-H bond breaking and O-H bond forming.

Table 1 presents the calculated values for the energetics for the hydrogen abstraction. We estimated the C-H bond dissociation enthalpy, BDE(C-H), from the calculated value for the heat of the reaction for CH₃ + CF₂ClOCHO \rightarrow CH₄ + CF₂ClOCO and the experimental C-H bond dissociation enthalpy of CH₄, 438.9 kJ/mol.⁸ Significant difference in BDE(C-H) value between the *syn* and *anti* conformer is noteworthy: the BDE(C-H) value for the *syn* conformer is larger by 15.9 kJ mol⁻¹ than for the *anti* conformer. This is due to the reversal in stability order of the *syn* and *anti* conformers for the reactant molecule and for the product radical. For reactant CF₂ClOCHO molecule, the modified G2(MP2) procedure indicated that the *syn* conformer is 4.6 kJ mol⁻¹ lower in energy than the *anti* conformer. Meanwhile, the *anti* conformer of CF₂ClOCO radical is 10.9 kJ mol⁻¹ lower in energy than the corresponding *syn* conformer.



Figure 1. Optimized structures of the transition states for the reactions of *syn-* and *anti*-CF₂ClOCHO with OH radical. Bond lengths and angles are given in Å and degrees, respectively.

Table 1. The C-H bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE(C-H)), barrier heights ($\Delta E_0^{\#}$, including the zero-point energy), and heats of reaction (Δ_r H(298 K)) for *syn*- and *anti*-CF₂ClOCHO. Data are given in kJ mol⁻¹

conformer	BDE	$\Delta E_0^{\#}$	$\Delta_r H(298 \text{ K})$
syn	423.4	13.8	-73.6
anti	407.5	11.2	-89.5

The calculated values for the heats of reaction [Δ_r H(298 K)] indicate that the hydrogen abstraction from CF₂ClOCHO is exothermic regardless its conformer. Correspondingly, we find early TSs for both the *syn* and *anti* conformers, as expected from the Hammond's postulate.⁹ For both the *syn* and *anti* TSs, the breaking C-H bonds are elongated by only 11% from C-H bond length of 1.09 Å in the isolated CF₂ClOCHO molecule, while the forming O-H bonds are longer by around 33% than the O-H bond in H₂O molecule, indicating a reactant-like TS. In spite of differences in the C-H bond strength, no significant difference in the breaking and forming bond lengths can be seen between the *syn* and *anti* TSs . This might be related with the hydrogen bonding interaction in the *syn* TS. The distance between the bridging oxygen atom (C-O-C) and the hydroxyl hydrogen atom in the *syn* TS is 2.65 Å, which is slightly shorter that the sum of the van der Waals radii of oxygen and hydrogen atoms (2.72 Å).¹⁰ Thus, weak hydrogen bonding interaction may exist in the *syn* TS. Such interaction is not seen in the *anti* TS.

Owing to stronger C-H bond in the *syn* conformer, *syn*-CF₂ClOCHO is less reactive than *anti*-CF₂ClOCHO. The calculated barrier height values for the hydrogen abstraction are 13.8 and 11.2 kJ mol^{-1} for the *syn* and *anti* conformer, respectively (see Table 1). We calculated the rate constant for the hydrogen abstraction reaction by using the standard transition-state theory (TST) expression:¹¹

$$k_{TST} = \Gamma \frac{k_B T}{h} \frac{Q_{TS}}{Q_A Q_B} e^{-\Delta E_o^{\#}/RT}$$
(1)

The k_B , T, h, and Q's represent the Boltzmann constant, temperature, the Planck constant, and partition functions, respectively. The tunneling factor, Γ , was calculated by using Eckart's method for unsymmetrical one-dimensional potential barrier.¹² Since the barriers for interconversion between the *syn* and *anti* conformers are higher, *i.e.* 28.9 kJ mol⁻¹ from *syn* to *anti* and 24.3 kJ mol⁻¹ from *anti* to *syn*, than those for the hydrogen abstraction, we calculated separately the rates of hydrogen abstraction from the *syn* and *anti* conformers. Moreover, the total hydrogen abstraction rate constant (k_{total}) was calculated from the weighted sum of the two individual rate constants for *syn*- (k_{syn}) and *anti*-CF₂ClOCHO (k_{anti}):

$$k_{\text{total}} = \mathbf{w}_{syn}k_{syn} + \mathbf{w}_{anti}k_{anti} \tag{2}$$

where w_{syn} and w_{anti} are weight factors of the equilibrium distribution of the *syn* and *anti* conformers of CF₂ClOCHO.

The calculated rate constants in the temperature region from 250 K to 450 K are given in Table 2. The free energy gap between the *syn*-and *anti*-CF₂ClOCHO amounts to only 3.3 kJ mol^{-1} at 298 K. Thus, both the conformers contribute to the total rate constant for the hydrogen abstraction. The rate constant for the *anti*-CF₂ClOCHO is larger than that for the *syn*-CF₂ClOCHO. However, the population of the *anti* conformer is much lower than that for the *syn* conformer. As a consequence of the balance of these two factors, the Arrhenius plots of the rate constant is always much less than the contribution from the *syn* conformer in the temperature region from 250 K to 450 K (see Figure 2). Arrhenius equation of the total rate constant for the hydrogen abstraction from CF₂ClOCHO in the temperature region from 250 K to 450 K is estimated to be 7.1 × 10⁻¹³ exp(-845/T).

To the best of our knowledge, no experimental value for the hydrogen abstraction from CF₂ClOCHO by OH radical is available. Our estimate in the present work for the total rate constant of the hydrogen abstraction from CF2ClOCHO is $4.0 \times 10^{-14} \,\mathrm{cm^3}$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K. The atmospheric lifetime τ_{OH} of a molecule due to degradation via the reaction with OH radical can be determined from the expression, $\tau_{\rm OH} =$ $1/(k_{OH} \times [OH])$, and using $[OH] = 9.7 \times 10^5$ molecule cm⁻³.¹³ Using the rate constant value at 298 K, the au_{OH} value for CF₂ClOCHO is found to be 0.8 year. Since our estimate for the hydrogen abstraction rate for CF₂ClOCHO is double to the calculated value for CF₃OCHO molecule $(2.0 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mole-}$ cule⁻¹ s⁻¹),⁴ the τ_{OH} value for CF₂ClOCHO is likely to be shorter than that for CF₃OCHO. The lower barrier height and larger preexponential factor are the reason behind the larger rate constant value for CF₂ClOCHO than CF₃OCHO. In addition to the

hydrogen abstraction by OH radical, degradation channel via hydrolysis in the atmosphere should not be negligible for halogenated formates, which will probably make the atmospheric lifetime of halogenated formates still shorter. Further investigation on this point is now being carried out in our laboratory.

Table 2. The rate constants (in cm^3 molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) for hydrogen abstraction from the *syn-* and *anti*-CF₂ClOCHO

Т	k_{syn}	k _{anti}	$k_{\rm total}$
250	2.5×10^{-14}	3.5×10^{-14}	2.6×10^{-14}
298	3.7×10^{-14}	5.0×10^{-14}	4.0×10^{-14}
350	5.6×10^{-14}	7.1×10^{-14}	5.9×10^{-14}
450	1.1×10^{-13}	1.3×10^{-13}	1.2×10^{-13}



Figure 2. Arrhenius plots of the rate constants for the reaction: $CF_2CIOCHO + OH \rightarrow CF_2CIOCO + H_2O$.

This work was financially supported, in part, by New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO).

This paper is dedicated to professor Teruaki Mukaiyama on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

References

- L. K. Christensen, T. J. Wallington, A. Guschin, and M. D. Hurley, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **103**, 4202 (1999).
- 2 K. Nohara, M. Toma, S. Kutsuna, K. Takeuchi, and T. Ibusuki, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **35**, 114 (2001).
- 3 D. A. Good, M. Kamboures, R. Santiano, and J. S. Francisco, J. Phys. Chem. A, 103, 9230 (1999).
- 4 A. K. Chandra, S. Urata, T. Uchimaru, and M. Sugie, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, submitted.
- 5 L. A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 98, 1293 (1993).
- 6 A. P. Scott and L. Radom, J. Phys. Chem., 100, 16502 (1996).
- 7 S. Le Calve, G. Le Bras, and A. Mellouki, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **101**, 5489 (1997).
- 8 "CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics," 81th ed., ed. by D. R. Lide, CRC Press, New York, (2000).
- 9 G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 334 (1955).
- 10 A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem., 68, 441 (1964).
- 11 H. Eyring, J. Chem. Phys., 35, 107 (1935).
- 12 H. S. Johnston and J. Heicklen, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 532 (1962).
- 13 R. G. Prinn, R. F. Weiss, B. R. Miller, J. Huang, F. N. Alyea, D. M. Cunnold, P. J. Fraser, D. E. Hartley, and P. G. Simmonds, *Science*, **269**, 187 (1995).